Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Information Item only
Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise,
Tourism and the Environment
to

Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee

on 29th April 2009

Report prepared by: John Lee

Agenda Item No.

Members Requests for Parking, Crossing, Speed & Road Safety Schemes Executive Councillor: Councillor Anna Waite A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

To update Councillors on progress of Member's Requests as listed in **Appendix** 1

2. Recommendation

That the following be noted:

- i. Some additional finance for the programme may continue out of the new LTP funding.
- ii. New requests have been added in Appendix 1 with the following references. Victoria Road / Kensington Road (Ref P14), Parsons Corner (Ref C10), Burges Road (Ref S10), Snakes Lane (Ref S11), Burges Road (Ref S12), Linksway (Ref M6), Victoria Avenue rate running (Ref M7), Bellhouse Lane (Ref M8) and Hildaville Drive (Ref M9).

3. Background

This report is an update on the report, which went to Traffic & Parking Working Party & Cabinet Committee on 12th February 2009. This report is working towards prioritisation of the Councillors' requests.

4. Corporate Implications

4.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

None at present until schemes developed but generally they add to Customer Satisfaction by reducing concerns about road safety, parking and improve the environment and street scene.

Report No: DETE0938 - Final

4.2 Financial Implications

An estimated sum of £9,850 is to be spent from the LTP programme to cover the cost of the speed loops for Woodgrange Drive near Brunswick Road, The Fairway, Western Road Leigh and Burges Road and the pedestrian count for Harp House Roundabout.

4.3 Legal Implications

Implementation of some schemes will require traffic regulation orders and notices, which will follow the statutory legal processes.

4.4 People Implications

The work to implement these schemes can be met within existing staff resources or supported by the Council's term consultant for highway works.

4.5 Property Implications

None

4.6 Consultation

Most schemes will involve informal and formal consultation.

4.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

The proposals for all schemes will consider those with physical mobility, limitations and make improvements for those with visual impairments as far as possible.

4.8 Risk Assessment

None at present.

4.9 Value for Money

All work will be designed in house or with the use of Term consultants and implemented by term contractors. All contracts have been tendered in competition and in accordance with Procurement Guidelines and demonstrate value for money.

4.10 Community Safety Implications

We are yet to prioritise the schemes but community safety will directly benefit through the schemes, which contribute towards improvement to road safety, parking and reduced traffic speed.

4.11 Environmental Impact

There will be local environmental improvement through, reduction of speed, improved road safety and parking schemes.

5. Background Papers

Traffic & Parking Working Party & Cabinet Committee report on 6th November 2008, 17th December 2008, 12th February 2009 including **Appendix 1**, and project file number TH/P/5004.

6. Appendices

6.1 **Appendix 1** – Members Request 29 April 09

Note: Sections are labelled for ease of reference:

P for Parking pages 1 to 5 C for Crossing Facilities pages 5 to 9 S for Speeding/Road Safety pages 9 to 13 M for Miscellaneous pages 13 and 16

Report No: DETE0938 - Final